

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/755,088	01/10/2004	Michael David Hutter	AU-01	8178
75	90 12/27/2005		EXAM	INER
Roddy M. Bullock			HWU, DAVIS D	
936 Hidden Rid Milford, OH 4			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Sp			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/755,088	HUTTER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this communication and	Davis D. Hwu	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-15,17-19 and 30-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4,16 and 20-29 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	etion Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 20051220			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-15, 17-19, and 30-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Busch et al. in view of DeLuca et al.

The patent to Busch et al. discloses a firefighting apparatus capable of temporary installation and adapted for use in a host aircraft comprising a fuselage defining an interior and an exterior, the firefighting apparatus comprising a retardant tank 1 disposed in the interior of the host aircraft, and an ejection tube 7 in fluid communication with the tank 1, and a door for mounting within a fuselage orifice in the aircraft, the door providing fluid communication of retardant from the tank 1 through the ejection tube to the exterior of the host aircraft. DeLuca et al. teach an aircraft cargo door assembly comprising plug-type door assembly that when closed, locking and sealing prevent premature and inadvertent depressurization of the interior of the aircraft. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the device of Busch et al. by replacing the doors of Busch et al. with plug-type door assemblies as taught by DeLuca et al. to prevent premature and inadvertent depressurization of the interior of the aircraft. The use of a compressor and a compressed air storage tank to pressurize the tank would have been an obvious

Application/Control Number: 10/755,088 Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

matter of design choice since the use of compressors to pressurize tanks are well known in the art. The use of a transceiver with the transceiver being a GPS positioning assembly would have been obvious since such devices are required to locate the position of the fire. The use of a joystick as recited in claim 31 would have been a matter of user preference.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 16, 20-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Denoize et al., Richardson et al., and Kasper et al. are pertinent to Applicant's invention.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/755,088

Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Davis Hwu

DAVIS HWU PRIMARY EXAMINER